EXHIBIT "A"

Pages 126-170 of the Transcript of Deposition of Kyu Sang Cho taken on September 5, 2005

testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (Through Translator) 8.6 is the final document and the document that we were looking at, that is a process of reaching the final document. And the most important thing about the final document is that it is saying I will work here, or under these conditions after we have negotiated these issues. So this is all process and there may be opinions that are different from the chairman's opinion. instance, if someone from the head of the division may have a different opinion and they may say I think the salary is still high, and in that case, we may be able to revise the document later. But this is pretty much showing these are my thoughts.
- BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)
- Okay. Specifically what thoughts are you expressing Q. through your signature at the top of Page 8.3?
- Α. (Through Translator) The signature is saying that in the chairman's opinion that this looks about right, but in terms of the final decision, there may be some changes if other people, if my advisors have different opinions, they will look into it, and if they have different opinions, then there would be a revision made before the final document. But if there is no problem with my opinion, then it would be carried out as I have suggested.
 - When you say "this looks about right." What do you Q.

```
mean "this"; what are you talking about?
1
2
             (Through Translator) This specific issue because the
 3
    executives and advisors would have to look through all of the
4
    other issues as well as the issues that I have indicated here
 5
    and if there are any over lasting or any revisions that has
 6
    to be made, what I am saying is that the executives or
 7
    advisors would look for any problems and if they identify any
 8
    there could be revisions.
 9
                 MS. LEE: Objection. (Speaking in Korean.)
10
                 MR. THOMPSON: If you have an objection, I think
11
    you should make it in English so the record can reflect what
12
    you're saying.
13
                 MS. LEE: I just asked her about this one could
14
    be okay. She didn't --
15
                 MR. THOMPSON: Do you agree with that?
16
                 TRANSLATOR: I may have lost part of the
17
    previous statement but there was a statement that he said
    which verbatim, don't you think this looks about okay.
18
19
                 MS. LEE: She missed that sentence.
20
                 TRANSLATOR: It was in the previous, so I don't
21
    know.
22
                 MS. LEE: So I explained her, how can you say
23
    this looks about okay.
24
                                Right. That was the question, I
                 MR. THOMPSON:
```

don't think you were listening to the question. That was in

```
1
    the question? So it makes sense in her answer. Anyway your
2
    objection is noted and we have a record.
3
                 MS. LEE: No, he said that word again, that
4
    sentence again, but she didn't translate that same sentence.
 5
    So, I just ask her how do you translate that.
 6
                 MR. THOMPSON:
                                Okay.
 7
                                (Speaking in Korean.)
                 THE WITNESS:
8
                 MR. THOMPSON: I'm sorry, what did he say?
9
                 TRANSLATOR: He asked if his Korean was being
10
    recorded as wrong because he doesn't want his testimony to be
11
    altered by the interpretation.
12
                 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, we have a record of
13
    everything said and it should be an accurate record.
14
    BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)
15
        Q.
             So, Chairman Cho, you have just said that your
    signature represents that this looks about right, but I don't
16
    know what you mean by "this"; what do you mean by "this"?
17
    Are you talking about the terms and conditions of Mr. Chung's
18
19
    employment?
                 MR. GREGORY: Objection; compound. Objection;
20
21
    vague.
              (Through Translator) So, from the chairman's
22
        Α.
23
    perspective, the signature here signifies that I took an
24
    interest in this issue. On the issues that I take an
25
     interest in I would check, so regarding the other issues, I
```

would not read over them individually and they would be looked over by the related people in charge, and then they would take a look at it and then they would provide recommendations, and so this document is asking the president or the chairman before they implement any of these issues for the president or the chairman's opinion. So this is an internal document and the executives or working-level advisors would look into this and they want to know what I think, so this is telling them what I think and perhaps they would give me recommendations that the salary is too high or too low, in which case I may revise my opinion.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

Q. And what were your opinions after reviewing these two pages with respect to the issues contained in these two pages?

MR. GREGORY: Objection; asked and answered.

A. (Through Translator) In a company when you make a final judgment you are making a decision. But the form in which these decisions are made are different according to company and this document is a reference into making that decision, which is why it is in a very simple format. So this is brought to me in a simple format and when I have signed -- what I am saying is that as a reference, my thought regarding this is doesn't this look about okay. Now, look into this with the people in charge in order to make a

```
1
    decision, and that's what I'm saying by signing it. So, this
2
    is a reference document and based on the opinions of the
 3
    chairman that I have expressed through this document, then
4
    the working-level employees may also make some
5
    recommendations or opinions accordingly.
6
             I would like to add that the format is different
7
    according to company and this is a format that is used in
8
    order to make the decisions, so I don't think that anybody is
9
    in a position to say that this format is right or wrong.
10
    is the most -- I believe that it is the most efficient and
11
    time-effective way into making a decision, which is why we
12
    use this format and I -- the only reason, the only reason for
13
    this format is to aid the president or the chairman into
14
    making the right decision, and I know better than anybody
15
    else what this document means, what this format means, and
16
    regardless of what other people may say, doesn't this mean
    this, or doesn't this mean that, I believe that I know the
17
18
    best what this document means and what this format means.
    BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)
19
20
        0.
             Okay. And what does it mean?
21
                 MR. GREGORY:
                                Objection; asked and answered.
22
                 TRANSLATOR: And he asked if we could have a
23
    break after the question.
24
                 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, of course, we can take a
```

I want you to know in advance that there are

Civil Action No. 04-00001

```
questions pending about this document and if you discuss
1
2
   issues about this document either with your lawyer or your
3
   Dr. Lee or anyone else, I'm going to ask you about those
4
   discussions when you come back.
5
                MR. GREGORY: Attorney/client objection's been
6
           On the record, I object, attorney/client and I just
   noted.
7
   need that there is a question pending.
8
```

MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.

(Off the record.)

(Back on the record.)

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 0. Are you looking at Document 8.3 and 8.4? Excuse me, I want to circle some areas. I'm circling this Korean word in parenthesis at the top-left-hand corner of Document 8.4. What does that word say?
 - (Through Translator) Appendix. Α.
- Reading that word, does that refresh your memory 0. that you reviewed these two documents simultaneously? These two pages, 8.3 and 8.4, did you review them together?
- (Through Translator) I don't know what you mean by "simultaneously," I don't know the dates in which I looked over this document. You have my signature, so I did definitely look over them, but I don't know if I looked over them simultaneously. If you look at the signatures you can see that I did look over them.

- Q. Okay. And you don't know what date you signed this document?
 - A. (Through Translator) That's right.
- Q. Did you make any marks on either of these two pages showing your disapproval of any term contained in these pages?
- A. (Through Translator) Again, I'd like to say that this is a reference material to make a decision, so it is not a confirmation, it is just conveying my opinions. After this we have to give the advisors an opportunity to check whether the chairman's opinion is right or wrong. So this is just a reference, this is just a reference in order to convey the chairman's intentions and if the chairman's intentions are wrong, then the advisors can't just sit back and do nothing they have to correct that opinion and I am the most -- I am more familiar with this form than anybody else, so I hope that you will understand that this is not to convey an order, but just to express my thoughts. And then the advisors or experts would have to verify those thoughts.
- Q. What thoughts did you express through your review and signature on these two pages?
- A. (Through Translator) Regarding the date, for instance -- regarding the date, for instance, this would mean that this date doesn't it look about right, this is my opinion, now take a look at it to see if this date would be

```
okay. And so the date may be revised or modified later on, and also especially on Page 8.4, the part where I wrote about the incentives, this is my opinion saying that rather than giving this person a flat 9,000 then we should give him 8,000 and provide him incentives in proportion to the profits, because this would act as a motivation. So, this is my opinion and I am saying this is my opinion, now look into it.
```

Q. When you wrote down in your handwriting 80,000 plus incentives, was that to convey your intention to compensate Mr. Chung at \$80,000 a year plus incentive for his work as vice president of World Corporation?

MR. GREGORY: Objection; vague as to "intention." I have an additional objection; also asked and answered. He's been asked at many points and answered at many points already.

A. (Through Translator) No, that is not what I am saying. What I am saying is that we're going to pay this person a 90,000 fixed rate, then rather than that we should pay him 80,000 plus a percentage of the profits and so it does not mean that let's give this person this much. It's saying that, for instance, if you are agreeing about the 90,000 then perhaps we shouldn't do it this way, we should do it in this other method.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING) .

Q. I'm trying to understand, first, what you meant to

```
signify when you signed Exhibit 8.3 at the top, that's what I
1
2
    would like to know; what did you intend to signify by signing
3
    on Document 8.3 at the top?
4
                 MR. GREGORY: Objection; vague as to where at
5
    the top.
                 MR. THOMPSON: I'll point, excuse me, right
6
 7
    there.
                               I have another objection.
                                                          It's
                 MR. GREGORY:
8
9
    been asked and answered many times in this deposition.
10
        Α.
              (Through Translator) Regarding the date, it says
    April 1st, and I do not have any information about this, I'm
11
    not sure about the date, so what it is saying is that
12
    whenever you choose, whatever the date is, then I would
13
    agree. So this is telling the date that he will join the
14
    company and regarding such date matters, what I am saying is
15
    that you discuss among your working-level employees as well
16
    as your executives and come up with a date, and whatever
17
    then -- I don't think that that is something -- the date is
18
    something that the chairman should get involved in, so what
19
     it is saying is actually talking about the order, the order
20
21
    itself of Mr. Chung coming into the company.
22
    BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)
                     Thank you. So your signature that we were
23
        Q.
```

just talking about only relates to that aspect of what your

answer was and nothing else; is that correct?

24

MR. GREGORY: Objection; vague, confusing.

A. (Through Translator) Not necessarily. I did look over all of the other information and especially the date, and so the signature is comprehensive in that it is saying that well as the chairman I do look over the important issues and I check these issues and it is out of habit that I put my signature to signify that I have looked over this. So, you cannot put too much additional meaning into the signature. It is just saying that I've read this.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Earlier you testified that your signature meant -this signature at the top of Page 8.3 meant that quote, this
 looks about right. Is that an accurate statement?
- MR. GREGORY: Objection; mischaracterizes prior testimony.
- A. (Through Translator) You can't just pick that one aspect out of this because the signature has comprehensive and many meaning. Like I said, as a matter of habit I -- this signature does also mean that, yes, I have read over this and this is one of the meanings that is has, and regarding the date, what it is saying is that this date is okay and I will leave it to the discretion of the working-level employee. Because I don't know if August -- I don't know if April 1st is the better date or if August 1st is the better date, so I am leaving that to the discretion of

the employee. And as I said, in order for me to make a decision, in order for the chairman to make a decision, I am just conveying my thoughts and what it is saying here is that, well, the order of coming into the company, good, and the date, okay. If you do it on that date, it's good, if you do it on a different date, then that's good too. And so the working-level employee would look into this matter based on the principles and would use this information to reach an efficient conclusion.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Thank you. Does your signature at the top of Page 8.3 that we've been talking about, that signature, does that signify your agreement that Mr. Chung would be entitled to a three-year contract plus an agreement every year for an extension or a new contract as stated at Item No. 1 on Page 8.4?
- A. (Through Translator) This does not mean that I agree as the chairman. This is something that the working-level employees have to look over. We have somewhat of a training period because if you become a regular employee of the company, then it comes difficult to fire that person, right? So when we hire a regular employee we would have to have the okay of the employee, as well as the employer, and so we have a training period before we employ them out as a regular worker. And regarding the three-year contract plus the

```
1
    renewal every year, that is not something that the chairman
2
    would decide, that would be something that the working-level
 3
    employees would determine according to the regulations of the
4
              The chairman has a lot to do and if the chairman
 5
    has to spend so much time on just one person, then the
6
    chairman would never get anything done and then the company
 7
    would just go bankrupt, so I do not look into the specific
8
    matters.
9
                 MS. LEE: Objection. You said -- (Inaudible.)
10
                 TRANSLATOR: Yes, I agree.
11
                 MR. THOMPSON:
                                Okav.
12
    BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)
13
        Q.
             Does your signature on the top of Page 8.3 signify
14
    your approval of an annual salary of $80,000 plus a
15
    percentage of net income as expressed in Item 2 on Exhibit
16
    8.4?
17
                 MR. GREGORY: Objection; asked and answered.
              (Through Translator) I have explained this many
18
        Α.
19
            Do you want me to explain this again? We have a
20
    department advisor and executive and whether $10,000 is okay
21
    for this person or not, that would depend on the opinion of
22
    the employee, as well as the fairness with other employees as
23
    well. And, this is all information that I don't have, so the
24
    executive-in-charge would be the one that's left with a
    decision regarding that. The chairman would not make
25
```

1 decisions regarding that.

0.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Who was the executive-in-charge relating to Mr. Chung's employment?
 - MR. GREGORY: Objection; relevance.
- A. (Through Translator) One would be, I believe,
 Director Bay, and I am getting confused, but also the head of
 the support headquarters I do not remember his name at the
 moment but these two executives would have been involved.

How about your son, President Taeho Cho?

- A. (Through Translator) Regarding such salary issues, the president would be in charge of policy and such details would not -- the president would not deal with the details of such issues. And so, the president would receive reports of big chunks relating this issue, but especially considering the president's experience in our issues, the president would not get strongly involved in this process. Of course, because he has a responsibility, but the real authority regarding this issue would go to the executive that has a good knowledge of the regulation and this executive's opinion would be strongly reflected.
- Q. Do you know if President Taeho Cho reviewed these two documents. Exhibits 8.3 and 8.4?
 - A. (Through Translator) In the first page, it says to

```
1
    look into again, but --
             Just for the record, you're pointing at
2
3
    Document 8.1: is that correct?
             (Through Translator) Yes, on 8.1, that is the
4
    president's signature so looking at that then, I would say
5
    that he has looked over this.
6
             Okay. Circling this signature at the top; do you
7
    recognize that signature?
8
             (Through Translator) Yes, I know that is President
9
        Α.
    Cho's signature. I do recognize it. It is the same as the
10
11
    one in the front.
             How about the handwriting in the second column; do
12
        Q.
    you know who wrote that?
13
14
        Α.
              (Through Translator) That doesn't look my
    handwriting, but I don't know whose it is.
15
16
        Q.
             What does that say?
                 TRANSLATOR: I'm having trouble looking for the
17
18
    exact word for this.
                 MR. THOMPSON: Do you have a word for that?
19
                  MS. LEE: I think senior director.
20
                  MR. THOMPSON: What do you think?
21
                  MS. LEE: Senior director.
22
                  MR. THOMPSON: Okay. (Inaudible.)
23
                  MR. THOMPSON: You don't agree? What do you
24
25
     think it is?
```

```
DR. LEE: According to Korea business law, it is
1
2
    executive. Some companies use different names --
3
    (Inaudible.) -- is not clear, I don't know. (Inaudible.)
4
                 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. So now we've had input
5
    from both parties.
6
                 DR. LEE: (Inaudible.)
                 MS. LEE: As long as he mention World
 7
    Construction they are stating -- (Inaudible.) -- currently
8
    probably now they aren't doing it, but by that time, that's
 9
    their first time to assign somebody. Foreign country, they
10
11
    don't -- they never translate --
                 MR. THOMPSON: So, you've had a lot of input,
12
    and it's your call on what you think the word should be.
13
14
                 TRANSLATOR: I think, first can I --
    (Inaudible.) I believe that may be President Cho's opinion,
15
    he may have expressed his opinion that he thinks that that
16
    position may be the right position. That's my call. I would
17
18
    have to say senior director.
19
                 MR. THOMPSON: Okay.
                 TRANSLATOR: Do I have to interpret the
20
21
    discussion?
                 MR. THOMPSON: Do you remember what it was?
22
23
                 TRANSLATOR: Yes.
24
                 MR. THOMPSON:
                                Okay.
                                (Through Translator) Overall, the
25
                 THE WITNESS:
```

```
1
    discussion is right. However, whether it is a --
2
    (Inaudible.) -- or managing director -- or director or senior
3
    director, if you look in the company, the president of a
4
    small subsidiary company can become department head of the
5
    company, and the department head is even hardly an executive,
    so if you look at World Construction at that time, we did not
6
 7
    have many employees. So someone in their 20's could become a
8
    president or become a vice president, but for external
 9
    activities, if you have a low title, then it makes it
10
    difficult to carry out business activities outside. They're
11
    core in consideration of the business activities that this
    person will have to carry out outside, we try to put the
12
13
    position as high as we can, and that is common etiquette, so
14
    this is for the development of the company, so you cannot put
    too much meaning into the name of a position.
15
16
    BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)
17
             Does your signature on the top of Page 8.3 signify
        0.
18
    your consent to pay for the actual amount of Mr. Chung's
19
    moving expenses from Korea to Saipan?
20
                 MR. GREGORY: Objection; vague as to what
21
    signature you're talking about.
22
                 MR. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the only
23
    signature we've been talking about, the top of Page 8.3.
```

(Through Translator) That doesn't mean that. You

said agreement, but this is a working-level reference

24

25

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

document and it looks like nobody actually looked over this before it came up to me. And I do not have the ability nor do I feel the necessity to look over all of this, because that is something that a working-level employee should do. And the working-level employee would make the judgment whether the contents were appropriate or not. I do not have the ability to make such judgments, and what the chairman does is say, okay I would like this person to come to the company, and that is the only core point of the signature. BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Why did you want Mr. Chung to come to the company?
- (Through Translator) I had received reports from working-level employees involved in this process and I had received reports that Mr. Chung would be qualified to work in the company, and also in the process I had heard Mr. Chung's opinions as well, and I made the judgment that it would be good to employ him. So, as the chairman, the chairman looks at the issues in which the chairman has to make the decision. And regarding the other issues, the other issues are screened in each department where they are taken care of. As the chairman, I would only look into the areas where I would make decisions.
- 0. And what areas were those that you made the decision in about Mr. Chung's employment? (Inaudible.)

TRANSLATOR: What he said? (Inaudible.)

```
asked Mr. Cho, you said that you only look with interest into
1
    the areas where you make the decisions, and he answered that
2
    I look into the policies. I check into the areas whereas the
3
    chairman I have the responsibility to carry out these orders.
4
                 TRANSLATOR: Can you ask the question again.
5
6
    BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING) .
7
             My question was, what decisions did you take
    responsibility for or what decisions did you make about Mr.
8
9
    Chung's employment?
10
        Α.
             (Through Translator) At this point, until the
11
    official order was issued, nothing had been decided on.
    to this point, as the chairman, I had only stated my opinions
12
    and my opinions could be wrong, so the executive involved
13
    would have to verify that and they would have to sign the
14
    papers which would show that they are taking responsibility
15
    for that. So, at this point, nothing was decided. This is
16
17
    all part of a process.
        Q.
             At any time, did you --
18
                 THE WITNESS: (Through Translator) Can we take a
19
20
    break?
                 MR. THOMPSON:
                                 Sure.
21
22
                     (Off the record at 3:15 p.m.)
23
                   (Back on the record at 3:25 p.m.)
    BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)
24
```

At the top of document identified as Exhibit 8.4

Kyu Sang Cho: September 5, 2005

25

Q.

circled in red on your copy, Mr. Cho, is what I believe you testified was the signature of your son, President Taeho Cho.

- A. (Through Translator) Yes.
- Q. And your son is the president of World Corporation; is that correct?
 - A. (Through Translator) Yes.
- Q. And he was the president of World Corporation in April 2003; is that correct?
- A. (Through Translator) I believe that he was -- I believe that he was appointed the president at that time, I would like to expect your understanding that I do not want to give a mistake in testimony because of a faulty memory.
- Q. Right, and I'm not going to ask you to guess. If you know, you do; and if you don't, you can just say so.

In the column where President Cho's signature is, does that phrase in Korean language, does it say "basic conditions"?

- A. (Through Translator) Yes.
- Q. Does the president's signature in that column signify his approval of the basic conditions contained in that column?
- A. (Through Translator) Well, it is the chairman that makes the final decision, so the president would not be able to make the decision. It is the chairman who makes that final decision, not the president.

Q.	Did you,	the o	chairman,	ever	finally	decide	the	basio
condition	ns under	which	Mr. Chun	g woul	d be em	ployed?		

- A. (Through Translator) I do not know the details. What I do know is that this person came to our company and I knew his position, and that's all that a chairman needs to know. Regarding the details, this is all unspecified, so it is not something that the chairman should intervene in. And if there was someone that for a special reason was entitled to receive some special treatment, then I would receive a report about that and I would receive that report after this person has gone through the necessary procedures within the involved department.
- Q. Did you say that it was the chairman's responsibility to decide the basic conditions for Mr. Chung's employment?

MR. GREGORY: Objection; mischaracterizes testimony.

TRANSLATOR: Ask the question again, sorry.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Did you say just a moment ago that it was for the chairman to decide the basic conditions of Mr. Chung's employment and not the president?
- A. (Through Translator) Regarding the employment conditions, based on this document, even the chairman can't make a decision. So, obviously, the president can't make a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The president's signature here just signifies that I have seen this document, now report this document to the chairman, and from the contents of this document, even the chairman, even the chairman can't make the decision, so the president can't make the decision. This is just a reference.

- 0. Did you ever make a decision about the terms and conditions of Mr. Chung's employment?
- (Through Translator) That would be the official As the chairman the official order would be the final order, and the core areas that I am the chairman would have interest in, is first of all, whether to employ this person That is the most important decision that the or not. chairman makes.

And then the second would be the range of this person's salary. Is this person's salary fair in comparison with other people in the company, and considering his level of satisfaction, his level of ability, so the range of the salary.

And then third, the additional issues would be decided, not really decided but rather judged and taken care of by working-level employees. And the chairman does not need to know anything other than that.

Did you ever learn what Mr. Chung's basic conditions Q. of employment were?

MR. GREGORY: I'm going to object to this --

(Inaudible.)

MR. THOMPSON: Let me ask it again.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Did you ever learn the terms and conditions of Mr. Chung's employment?
- A. (Through Translator) What do you mean by "employment conditions"? There are many types of conditions and the chairman cannot know all of these conditions. If you can specify what kind of conditions you're talking about, then maybe I can answer.
 - Q. Was Mr. Chung's salary ever decided?
- A. (Through Translator) I don't know if you're asking if it has been decided based on this document, but at the time that the official order was issued, I assume that his salary would have been decided because this is important information. When you decide to work for a certain company, then the priority discussion that goes on between the working-level employees is the salary. It is based on the salary discussion that the employee decides whether he's going to take the job and whether we are going to employ this person. And with regards to the amount of the salary, I do not know. I do not even know the current salary level of our current general manager.
- Q. Do you know whether the length of Mr. Chung's employment contract was decided before the issuance of the

Kyu Sang Cho: September 5, 2005

final order?

- A. (Through Translator) The term -- the period of the employment contract cannot be determined at that point.
 - Q. How do you know that?
- A. (Through Translator) This is because such issues are decided when this official order is issued. So everything is decided at that point, even if you have agreed on everything, even if you have negotiated everything, such agreements are not valid until the day of this official order. So, even if you have negotiated anything, even if you have negotiated everything, nothing that you have negotiated can be definite until the day of the order.
- Q. Okay. On the day of the order, was there a definite term of employment granted to Mr. Chung as a condition of employment?

TRANSLATOR: Definite term of employment?

MR. THOMPSON: Length of employment.

A. (Through Translator) If you look at the document, it does not say anything about the employment period. As you can see, it says a probation period of one-year and so I would assume that after the probation period, that's when you would start talking about the employment period. And I think that you need to understand the meaning of a probation period. Because within the probation period, if you look at this pledge, this oath that the employee has to take, it

promise to spend the rest of your lives together but you can

get divorced after one year.

24

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Do you have personal knowledge about whether

 Mr. Chung was made aware of the probationary period before
 the execution of the final order which we have been looking
 at as Document 8.6?
- A. (Through Translator) Well, there is no exception.

 In HR the most important thing is fairness, and there is no reason that we would give somebody a probation, while we would give someone else -- where we wouldn't give someone a probation period. So, it's natural eating breakfast when you wake up, so there is no reason for me to know that
- Q. So the answer is no, you don't have any personal knowledge that Mr. Chung was made aware of the probationary period before the execution of the final order that is shown on Exhibit 8.6?
- A. (Through Translator) The probation period is a very obvious thing that we always have, so I didn't take any interest and I didn't need to though because it was very obvious. I mean if it was something important, then maybe somebody would have told me.
- Q. Was Dr. Lee, when he was hired, was he hired on a probationary period?
- A. (Through Translator) I'm sorry, but that is advisor's sister, this is the advisor's sister. It is somewhat of a personal issue, but an advisor to the chairman

is different from a regular worker and we do use non-regular workers as well, and non-regular workers would not need to go through a probation period. So, it is when you are being employed as a regular worker that you go through the probation period, and this is because we need to know each other better.

- O. Was B.K. Park hired on a probationary period?
- A. (Through Translator) To my knowledge, I'm not sure, but I think it is a little bit different in that case because B.K. Park is not Korean by nationality, he is a foreigner and I am not sure if we have a probation period when we employ foreigners. I have not seen the official order, so I do not know. I am not sure what is the practice that we follow for foreigners. For instance, when we employ local residents, then I believe that we do not have a probation system for the local residents. But I'm going to have to check that.
- Q. Was Ms. Lee hired on a probationary period; do you know?

MR. GREGORY: Objection -- (Inaudible.)

A. (Through Translator) I believe that the employees that we hire locally are non-regular workers and in the case of non-regular workers we do not have a probation period. For instance, for temporary workers we would not have a probation period, and so the people that we hire from Saipan there is no probation period because they are non-regular

workers. But when we're selecting regular employees, that's when we need the probation period. This is because nowadays it is very difficult to fire an employee, and, therefore, we have the probation period for the benefit of each party. So, it isn't a case of hiring a regular employee, excuse me, then we wouldn't need a probation system.

MR. THOMPSON: Before I ask the next question,
I'd like to caution you Dr. Lee, it's inappropriate for you
to signal to the witness yes or no along with his answers. I
know that you're --

DR. LEE: (Inaudible.) I'm sorry.

MR. THOMPSON: So, that's all I want to say. In the last answer you were shaking your head yes, and that's not an appropriate response in a deposition.

MR. GREGORY: I'd like to state for the record that he wasn't signaling in any way.

MR. THOMPSON: I don't think you were watching.

THE WITNESS: (Through Translator) And I would like to add that in the case of Mr. Chung, he had to go through the probation period because he was in the process of being employed as a regular employee, and if it was a temporary position where he would stay for a certain period of time, then he wouldn't need the probation period, but because he was going through the process of being hired as a regular employee, that's why he had to go through the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probation period, and that is also why he was transferred, why he received the order for the transfer, this was all because he was in the process of being selected as a regular employee.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Was Jon Minbet (phonetic) hired on a probation Q. period?
- Α. (Through Translator) Again, that is working-level information, so I would have to see the official order in order to be sure, but I believe that in his case he was also hired as a local, non-regular worker.

MS. LEE: Objection. Contracted worker.

TRANSLATOR: Excuse me, I'd like to change my regular worker to contract worker.

(Through Translator) Because he was also hired as a Α. contract worker, he did not have to go through a probation I believe that he was hired as a contract -- (Start period. Tape 11, Side B.)

So Mr. Chung, he was not hired as a hotel expert, but we had decided that he was capable of carrying out development operations at World Construction and he thought so as well, which is why he received the transfer and which is why he went through the probation period. The probation period is not something negative, it is something that is good for you because it is good to be given a regular

1 employment after going through the probation period. Because 2 of the case of contract workers, the contract workers have a 3 lower position in the office. 4 BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING) 5 I'm referring you, please, to Exhibit 8.3, and ask 6 you to please read what is said after No. 1 at the top of the 7 page. (Through Translator) It says hiring hotel expert 8 Α. 9 managers. 10 0. And that relates to the hiring of Mr. Chung; is that 11 correct? Α. 12 (Through Translator) Yes. And his official post is with World Corporation; is 13 0. 14 that correct as per this document? 15 (Through Translator) Yes. Α. 16 And in his academic history is included Cornell Q. 17 University, 1992 to 1994, master's degree in hotel 18 administration; isn't that correct? Α. 19 (Through Translator) Yes. 20 And major career experience includes employment by Q. Walker Hill, and Konwan Land (phonetic), both of which are 21 22 hotel operations; isn't that correct? 23 Α. (Through Translator) Yes. 24 I'd like for you to look at the document which is Q.

identified as 8.12. Have you seen this document before?

- (Through Translator) Yes, I have seen it, obviously 1 because I have signed it. 2
 - May I ask you to circle your signature, please. Q.
 - (Witness complied.) Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Does your signature on this page signify your acceptance agreement with the contents of this document?
- Α. (Through Translator) That is not signaling agreement, this is a decision, it is an order, so you have to follow -- that these orders have to be followed.
 - Q. And what is this order?
- (Through Translator) From -- it says release from Α. World Corporation and transferred to World Construction Headquarters, Sales Department as a director, the title of a director, and in the position of an executive in charge of overseas operations.
- And were you the final decision-maker with respect 0. to that order?
 - (Through Translator) Yes. Α.
- And what information did you rely on in making your Q. final decision?
- (Through Translator) I relied on my -- (Inaudible.) -- information, information from members of World Construction, directors from hotels, the opinions of local residents, and also reports that I, as the chairman, had received throughout that time, and overall I made the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transfer order based on my management philosophy.

You say you relied on information from members, who Q. were those members?

MR. GREGORY: Objection; mischaracterizes prior testimony.

(Through Translator) The person that has the most Α. information regarding overseas operations and is a fluent speaker of English and also has experience in hotel acquisition would be Dr. Lee, Dong Sung, and aside from him there are also many others.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- 0. Who are the others?
- (Through Translator) According to the level of Α. importance regarding their roles, as I have said, Dr. Lee and then Hoogaf Won (phonetic) and then vice president Park from the support headquarters, also B.K. Park, the other general manager of the hotel, as well as two Korean working-level employees and one Japanese division head, and I believe that there may have been more, but those are the ones that I can remember.
- Okay. And what information did Dr. Lee give you 0. that informed your final decision as reflected in this order? MR. GREGORY: Objection; mischaracterizes prior testimony.
 - Α. (Through Translator) As I said yesterday, the most

important aspect of running a hotel is service, friendliness 1 2 and a bond with the local residents. And he had involved himself in disturbing Mr. Kekowa from coming to Korea. 3 had an important role in Mr. Kekowa's decision. And as I 4 5 said yesterday, I was thinking how could he do this in his 6 position and I was very surprised, and there may have been 7 other reasons, but this was the main reason. 8 BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING) Is it possible that you were mistaken about Kekowa's 9 Q. reason for not coming to work for World Resort? 10 11 MR. GREGORY: Objection; calls for speculation. (Through Translator) I received a report that it was 12 Α. a fact that Mr. Chung had in fact talked to Mr. Kekowa and 13 14 whether this is the reason why he decided not to come back, on that I will have to look into, but the fact itself I 15 16 believe is true. Instead of talk, backbite, MS. LEE: Objection. 17 18 or stab. TRANSLATOR: Back stab. 19 Do you agree with that? 20 MR. THOMPSON: TRANSLATOR: I agree with that. 21 22 (Inaudible colloquy.) MR. THOMPSON: No, you can just say objection. 23 24 That's the word. (Inaudible colloquy.) 25

Did you translate -- (Inaudible.)

TRANSLATOR: I would like to make a correction.

May I report both of these?

MR. THOMPSON: Sure, sure.

A. (Through Translator) Regarding the fact that Mr. Chung back stabbed Mr. Kekowa I received a report that that itself was a fact. And whether this is the reason that Mr. Kekowa decided not to come back, that will need further investigation, but from what I have heard, I assume that the fact that Mr. Chung had back stabbed Mr. Kekowa is true.

I would like to add that due to this incident I had made a call to Mr. Chung and told him in very -- rather harshly that he should rebuild the bond with the local residents and he responded that the chairman was making him take the bad role, take on the adversary role and so I did point that out quite harshly for him to do that.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Did you meet with Mr. Chung after you had that telephone call and during that meeting discuss Mr. Kekowa?
- A. (Through Translator) I do not remember if I had a discussion about Mr. Kekowa with Mr. Chung, I just remember that I reprimanded him.
- Q. Do you remember meeting with Mr. Chung in Seoul on about May 11th and discussing Mr. Kekowa?
 - A. (Through Translator) I don't remember that date.

- A. (Through Translator) I don't know how you would classify it -- I don't know what you mean by "discussion."

 When you say the word discussion it means -- you can use the word discussion when you're talking on equal terms, but there was -- there could have been some information exchanged regarding some positive or negative aspects of Mr. Kekowa and when you use the -- if you want to use the word discuss then I believe that this means a debate when you're on equal terms. But I believe that I did receive information that for so and so reasons that Mr. Kekowa was not very positive.
- Q. You never asked Mr. Kekowa why he wasn't going to accept the job as general manager at World Resort, did you?

MR. GREGORY: Objection; asked and answered yesterday.

MS. LEE: Objection. (Speaking in Korean.)

MR. THOMPSON: Say in English first.

MS. LEE: Her English translation, I mean her Korean translation is, you never ask why don't you take this position, general manager's position, like that, but your question is never ask why he was not going to -- why he was not take that position. Why don't you. You never ask why don't you take this position, but your question is you never ask the reason that he was not take the position.

MR. THOMPSON: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Inaudible colloquy.)

(Through Translator) As I said yesterday, I invited him to Korea and I am not exactly sure of location where we met, but first of all, I wasn't talking to him directly. couldn't communicate with him directly, I had to speak through an interpreter. And I didn't ask him specifically why the reason that he wasn't coming back because I had heard of the reason indirectly, so if I had said to talk to him about the specific incident of Mr. Chung saying that I will be the general manager and you can be the vice manager, then he might understand that that is the chairman's intention as well, and if you tell someone who is currently the general manager to become a vice manager, that's actually a bigger insult than telling him to leave. So, and at the same time I can't take back what Mr. Chung said, so I met him in order to encourage him and I spoke to him in a tone that what Mr. Chung was his own thoughts and that my thoughts are different and I didn't ask him the reason why he wasn't coming back because that would have been hurting him twice.

MR. GREGORY: As we discussed off the record a few times, World would like the opportunity to question the witness the last half-hour of the deposition. We believe that we are entitled to that opportunity and we hereby request, and I understand that you were disagreeing with that

position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. THOMPSON: Right. I disagree because that wasn't anything we discussed before today. I agree under the rules you have a right to cross-examine. I would also have the recross. But one thing that was agreed was that we would set time limits for the protection of the witness and to allow us, the plaintiffs, enough time to depose the witness. There's a lot of questioning that I would like to do that I know that I will not be able to do because of the time limits, and in setting those time limits and agreeing to the stipulation there was no discussion of some time being reserved for cross-examination. Furthermore, you have access to Chairman Cho because he is your client and you can speak with him at length at any time and it's not necessary for you to depose him. And, if you want to depose him, you could always set a deposition. I will not object, we will not object to a deposition as long as it doesn't delay the trial.

MR. GREGORY: Again, the stipulation does not say either way that there will not be permitted cross and rebuttal and that is customary in all depositions and we believe that it's certainly compliant with that. Chairman Cho is a very busy man, so opportunities to speak with him are not easy to come by. And we assert on the record that we have missed opportunity to do so. Again, if that right is denied today, we reserve any and all rights that we may have

again, but as I have said this is an initial report that is

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you recall if at the time you read this document there was anything in here other than the salary change, any other term that you wanted to change?

MR. GREGORY: Objection; (Inaudible.)

(Through Translator) Regarding the mark that I made Α. regarding the salary, I always emphasize the importance of an incentive to be used as motivation, and what I marked here was that rather than giving him a flat 90,000 that we should perhaps give him 80,000 plus incentives. And the other areas, these are areas that other people need to look at. There is nothing that I know regarding any of the other areas, and so the departments that are in charge of each area would have to look into each of the specific issues and then return that to me for approval, and so I would like to emphasize again that to all extent, any extent, this is a reference document, it has no meaning, is has nothing that is decided on this and this is simply a part of the process in order to receive the exclusive decision of the chairman. the signatures on this document have no meaning, even in an organization, the chairman cannot make arbitrary decisions.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

Back to Exhibit 8.12. And before we Q. Thank you. took a break you were discussing the reasons why you made the final decision which is shown in this order.

I'm going to object to this line MR. GREGORY: of questioning.

MR. THOMPSON: That was a warmup.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

The question is, expressed in terms of percentages, I would like for you to estimate the percentage of the -- in terms of your overall final decision to release Mr. Chung from World Corporation, how important was the issue about Kekowa that we have discussed yesterday and today?

MR. GREGORY: Objection; vague.

(Through Translator) Rather than speaking in terms Α. of percentages as I said, the most important aspect of a hotel is service, friendliness, and everybody has different policies and I thought that for the time being that Mr. Chung would be better as a team manager for World Construction, because if he worked as a team manager for World Construction, then the hotel operation would also fall into that range, so I was considering his aptitude and I felt that he would not be able to achieve harmony as a vice manager of the hotel or as a vice manager, and also he had agreed that he would go to World Construction, the advisors have already

leader."

agreed that that was a good idea and we had already received
the documentation that said that Mr. Chung agreed to this
transfer. If he had said no at that time, perhaps I wouldn't
have transferred him. And even if I hadn't transferred him I
probably wouldn't have fired him, because anybody can make
mistakes, so which is why I received his agreement, which is
why I happily issued this order. And if I had known that it
would cause so much trouble to everybody in this room, then
perhaps I would have canceled that order. If this order
currently is not able to proceed, it is in its current state,
I cannot fire him right now, also it is stifled in its
current state. And if he was a contract worker then it would
be easier, but because he was going through a probation
period which means that he was in the process of becoming a
regular worker, this meant that it was possible to transfer
him from World Construction to World Corporation, and so on.
And I had also received his okay which is why I happily
issued this order, and yes I had heard about the incident
with Mr. Kekowa but anybody can act out of self-greed, and so
I made this transfer in order to develop Mr. Chung, so it was
actually an action of goodwill that I made this transfer.
MS. LEE: Objection. Instead of "department
manger of the construction," he was "overseas development
team leader," "overseas development and department team

1 MR. THOMPSON: Do you agree with that? 2 TRANSLATOR: No, I don't agree with that. 3 (Inaudible.) 4 BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING) 5 How did Mr. Chung inform you that he agreed to the 6 transfer order that is Document 8.12? 7 (Through Translator) Well, I remember receiving a Α. 8 facsimile to my home saying that I will follow your order, 9 and I had also confirmed with him personally. Also, my 10 advisors had told me that he had agreed to that, and then 11 suddenly I heard that he would not be coming, so I was very 12 flabbergasted because I had acted out of goodwill and in my 13 30 years experience in the business, things like this don't 14 happen, this was the first time. And if you are talking 15 about Korean law, then what he was doing was refusing to 16 comply to official order, and in Korea that would be 17 considered a very big crime. 18 And I would like to add that if I, as a chairman, made a mistake in issuing this official order, that perhaps 19 20 you can point out what I did wrong. 21 MS. LEE: (Inaudible.) You translate chairman 22 for --23 In my last translation I said that TRANSLATOR: the chairman had received a facsimile to his home saying that 24 25 Mr. Chung would follow his orders. I would like to make a

correction. He said that he received a facsimile to his home with a message by Mr. Chung saying that he would follow his will.

MR. THOMPSON: Is that what he said?

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- Q. Other than the issue about Mr. Kekowa, was there any other reason that you decided to issue this order?
- A. (Through Translator) There were many other reasons for my decision. I cannot point them all out at this time, but for first of all, Mr. Chung failed to get along with or to fuse with the employees and the executives at the office, and also I had asked Mr, Park, who was a general manager at that time, his opinion regarding Mr. Chung and he couldn't say directly anything negative about Mr. Chung, but he said that is it worth spending that much expenses on this person and he was very proactive in expressing his disapproval.

And I also asked the local residents and they said that regarding his attitude, regarding his personality, they had some negative things to say. It is important to form harmony with the local residents because a hotel is a service business and it's supposed to be friendly to the local residents and he has a very impressive academic background, but his attitude didn't seem to fit with that position, so I thought that it would be better to keep him in Korea by my side and in that case, attitude would be a better fit for

this other position and there are also reasons, many other reasons for my decision, but I cannot list all of them in a short period of time.

But, as I said, he has very impressive academic background and I wanted to develop his talents so it was -- because he has such good schooling and so I thought to myself a dozen times that I should develop, I should foster, I should nurture this person, and based on the probation period, I came to the conclusion that he would be better fit for this position, and I did the best that I could.

- Q. Did you have any direct communications with local residents from Saipan about Mr. Chung?
- A. (Through Translator) I talked to the Korean residents, Koreans residing in Saipan, and through an interpreter I also talked to a few local residents of Saipan.
 - Q. Who were the Korean residents that you spoke with?
- A. (Through Translator) If I go to a local restaurant and there are Korean people there, I would talk to them while we were eating, maybe have a drink together, and I would indirectly touch up on the subject and I actually received not very positive responses regarding Mr. Chung. And also Mr. Won, and I do not remember his name, but someone else that is stationed in Saipan, when they come to Korea I would ask their opinion as well, and other than that I would speak to people through an interpreter and I would just like to say

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- that I hope you trust me, that you believe me on this issue because why would I try to do something bad to a good person, I am representing a company, so I hope that you will trust me.
- Was one of the local people who gave you information 0. about Mr. Chung, Mariko Lizama?

MS. LEE: Mariko Lizama.

(Through Translator) I believe Mariko is Japanese and I do not speak Japanese, so I did not talk to him directly, I spoke to him through an interpreter, and he did give me some information. I believe that he had some relationship with Mr. Kekowa.

BY MR. THOMPSON: (CONTINUING)

- 0. What did he tell you?
- (Through Translator) Okay, I did not directly talk Α. to Mariko because I do not speak Japanese, but I believe that the information that I've told you about that I heard through an executive was -- that the source of the information was This is a speculation, but I believe that Mariko had heard many things from Mr. Kekowa and to put it in extreme terms, she said that Mr. Kekowa had said that there is just too much back stabbing which is why he would not be returning and I believe I heard that Mariko went as far as to name Mr. Chung, but I did not hear this directly, so I cannot say for sure.

Civil Action No. 04-00001

Kyu Sang Cho: September 5, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS

I, Kyu Sang Cho, being first duly sworn on oath, depose and say that I am the witness named in the foregoing deposition transcript and that I have read the questions and answers thereon as contained in the foregoing deposition, consisting of pages 1 through 170; that the answers are true and correct as given by me at the time of taking the deposition, except as indicated on the correction sheet.

Kvu	Sang	Cho.	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Veronica A. Flores, Certified Shorthand
Reporter, hereby certify that I transcribed the following proceedings, pages 1 to 170, to the best of my ability.

Witness my hand at Barrigada, Guam, this 26th day of September 2005.

Veronica A. Flores, CSR-RPR